

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/637,160	SEIFERT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Carol Barry.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

8, 11-12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner requested a cancelation of claim 8 (duplicate subject matter) and a correction of dependency for claims 11 and 12. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)